Are star formation & quenching governed by local, global or environmental phenomena?



Collaborators: R. Maiolino, S. Ellison, S. Sanchez, J. Piotrowska, M. Thorp, H. Teimoorinia, K. Bundy

MaNGA IFU Survey – DR15 (Released Jan 2019)

The MaNGA Survey:

- SDSS IV Ongoing Large Program
- ~10,000 Local (z < 0.1) GalaxiesObserved with IFU Spectroscopy
- > Flat Mass Distribution $(10^9 10^{11.5} M_{sun})$
- 3600 10000 A Spectral Range (R = 2000)
- ~1kpc Spatial Resolution (0.5 arcsec)
- Largest IFU Survey to date!

Pipe3D DR15 VAC:

- ~4500 Galaxies Observed
- ~10 Million Spectra Analysed:
 - Emission Line Strengths (Flux & EW)
 - Absorption Lines & Spectral Indices
 - \succ Kinematics (V_{los}, σ_{los})
 - SSP Fitting Parameters: stellar mass densities, stellar ages_{L,M}, stellar metallicities, SFHs...

Bundy et al. (2015); Sanchez et al. (2016a,b)



Apache Point Observat

Resolved SF Main Sequence in MaNGA

Note: using public Pipe3D intermediate data-products for SDSS DR15

(see Sanchez et al. 2016a,b)



"reliable, but highly incomplete/ biased"

-> Catastrophic if goal is to study the quenching process

Estimating SFRs for Lineless and Non-SF Spaxels Inspired by Brinchmann et al. (2004) SDSS Approach



- The low S/N spaxels are well separated from star forming spaxels in D4000
 motivates the use of the D4000 spectral index to 'classify' spaxels
- There is a reasonably tight correlation between D4000 sSFR for SF spaxels
 motivates its use to estimate SFR densities for AGN, COMP and LI(N)ER
- 3. D4000 is measured at 5σ in > 99% of spaxel binnings -> completeness \bigcirc

Estimating SFRs for Lineless and Non-SF Spaxels Inspired by Brinchmann et al. (2004) SDSS Approach



- The low S/N spaxels are well separated from star forming spaxels in D4000
 motivates the use of the D4000 spectral index to 'classify' spaxels
- There is a reasonably tight correlation between D4000 sSFR for SF spaxels
 motivates its use to estimate SFR densities for AGN, COMP and LI(N)ER
- 3. D4000 is measured at 5σ in > 99% of spaxel binnings -> completeness \bigcirc

SFR Maps for BPT SF-Only Sample

Higher B/T Ratio →



SFR Maps for Full Sample (Quenched & All-SF)

Higher B/T Ratio →



Higher M_{*}

ΔSFR Maps for Full Sample

Higher B/T Ratio →



Higher M_{*}

The Complete Resolved Main Sequence

Only ~17% of Spaxels

All ~100% of Spaxels



"reliable, but highly incomplete/ biased"

"complete/ unbiased, but more uncertain"



Variation of Parameters Across Resolved Main Sequence



Artificial Neural Network Analysis: Predicting Quenched Spaxels & Resolved SFR Densities



Quenching:

1) Identify which parameters, and groups of parameters, are particularly effective at predicting whether spaxels will be star forming or quenched: "Classification"

Star Formation:

2) Identify which parameters, and groups of parameters, are particularly effective at predicting actual SFR surface densities in star forming spaxels: "Regression"

See also Teimoorinia, Bluck & Ellison (2016) & Bluck et al. (2019a) for similar ANN approaches

Machine Learning Results: Classifying Centrals S.F. - Pa. Classification for Central Galaxies 100 All Global Typical Uncertainty ~0.5% Ţ Environmental 90 Local **Most Predictive** Random Single Variable: CVD $f_{ m correctly\, classified}\left(\% ight)$ 80 70 60 50 $\sigma_c \Sigma^c_* M_* f_b$ $M_H \ \delta_3 \ \delta_5 \ \delta_{10} \ D_{cc}$ Rand ALL $\sigma Z_* \Sigma_* a_{\text{eff}}$

Machine Learning: Ranking of Parameters





Black Hole Mass across the Resolved MS Note: Focusing on Centrals from now on...



Key Result: Quenched spaxels reside in host galaxies harbouring high mass black holes

But, what's in a couple of per cent? Comparing Stellar Mass, Halo Mass & Black Hole Mass



Fraction of Quenched Spaxels

Comparison to Global Relations in the SDSS 'Mass Quenching' is 'Black Hole Mass Quenching'!



<u>Key Idea</u> – M_{BH} traces total integrated feedback energy from AGN M_{*} traces total integrated feedback energy from SNe M_{Halo} traces the gravitational potential, source of feedback from shocks

Conclusions

- Global parameters impact the quenching of spaxels more than local properties.
 Hence, 'quenching is a global process'
- But, local parameters impact the SFR Densities of SF spaxels more than global parameters. Hence, 'star formation is a local process'
- Supermassive Black Hole Mass is an excellent predictor of central galaxy quenching (consistent with AGN quenching predictions from Eagle & Illustris)

→ AGN feedback is a *plausible* mechanism for central galaxy quenching

See Bluck et al. 2014, 2016, 2019a, 2019b & Teimoorinia, Bluck & Ellison 2016





A Theoretical Explanation: "The General AGN Quenching Argument"



An Example – Illustris (Vogelsberger et al. 2014a,b)

1) $P_Q \propto f(W_Q - \phi_{act}) = f(\epsilon E_{QM} - \phi_{act})$ 2) $E_{BH} = \eta c^2 \int \frac{dM_{BH}}{dt} dt \propto M_{BH}$ (Theory) 3) $M_{BH} = f(\sigma_c) \sim (\sigma_c) \propto$ (Observations) $\therefore P_Q = f(\sigma_c) \{+\xi_{halo} + \xi_{gas} + ...\}$

$${f f}_{{f Quench}}\sim{f f}\left({m \sigma}_{{f c}}
ight)$$

As observed!