# Downsizing of Star Formation: Weighing Dark Matter Haloes Hosting Dusty Star-Forming Galaxies



#### Kirsten Hall<sup>1</sup>

**Thesis Advisor:** Nadia Zakamska<sup>1</sup> **Collaborators:** Toby Marriage<sup>1</sup>, Devin Crichton<sup>2</sup>, Rachel Mandelbaum<sup>3</sup>,

The ACT Collaboration

<sup>1</sup>Johns Hopkins University <sup>2</sup>University of KwaZulu–Natal <sup>3</sup>Carnegie Mellon University  Goal: Investigate the redshift evolution of physical properties of Dusty Star Forming Galaxies (DSFGs) responsible for the Cosmic Infrared Background (CIB)

- Physical properties of interest:
  - Dark matter halo mass
  - IR Luminosity

### → Star formation rate

Hubble image of LIRG (DSFG) MCG-03-04-014





# Dark matter halo mass is correlated with galaxy properties. $\rightarrow$ e.g. Stellar mass, stellar growth rate



Behroozi et al. 2013





## How exactly do galaxies populate dark matter halos?

 Linking the bulk of star formation in the universe to the dark matter halo masses of the host galaxies



• Halo Occupation Distribution Model











$$\langle N(M) \rangle = \langle N_{cen}(M) \rangle + \langle N_{sat}(M) \rangle$$

### Herschel Space Observatory SPIRE Maps



### Herschel Space Observatory SPIRE Maps

SPIRE beam sizes at 250, 350, and 500  $\mu$ m are 18", 25", 36", respectively  $\rightarrow$  confusion limited



Nguyen et al. 2010

### **Cross-correlate SPIRE Maps & Quasar Catalog**









#### Hall et .al, 2018 MNRAS, 480, 149

# A physically-motivated model: Dark matter

• Dark matter halo clustering is fixed



## A physically-motivated model: Dark matter

• Quasar HOD, bias from other studies



$$\langle N(M) \rangle = \langle N_{cen}(M) \rangle + \langle N_{sat}(M) \rangle$$

### A physically-motivated model: Dark matter

Relate IR emission to the most efficient halo mass at hosting DSFGs,  $M_{eff}$ 





#### Consistency with cosmic star formation rate density



K. Hall - KICC10 - Sept. 19, 2019 - pages.jh.edu/~khall33

Hall et .al, 2018 MNRAS, 480, 149

### Most efficient halo mass at hosting DSFGs

Downsizing:

<sup>1</sup>The mass of 'star-forming galaxies' declines with decreasing redshift (Cowie et al. 1996; Bundy et al. 2006; Fontanot et al. 2009; Conroy & Wechsler 2009; Ishikawa et al. 2016, Wilkinson et al. 2017)



### Mean halo mass hosting DSFGs

Archaeological Downsizing:

<sup>2</sup>More massive halos host galaxies that assembled their stars earlier (Behroozi et al. 2013; Tojeiro 2016; Cochrane et al. 2017)



Hall et .al, 2018 MNRAS, 480, 149

### One more reason this study is important...

=

Quantifying the clustered infrared background

Quantifying a bias in other stacking analyses

• SEDs of quasars in the far-infrared, then the clustered background component needs to be understood/quantified

 $\Rightarrow$  Other very exciting work by me and my research group and the ACT collaboration



Hall et al. 2019, submitted arXiv:1907.1131

See also: Crichton, Gralla, Hall et al. 2016

**Summary:** Clustering of star forming galaxies around quasars

- Halo masses of DSFGs as a function of  $z \Rightarrow$  Cosmic downsizing
  - Most efficient halo mass at hosting DSFGs decreases from z~3 to z=0.5
  - Mean halo mass of DSFGs indicates galaxies in today's most massive halos formed their stars at higher z
  - 3)  $\rho_{\text{SFR, DSFG}}$  consistent with  $\rho_{\text{SFR, cosmic}}$
- Cross-correlations are extremely useful for studying quasars, their clustered environments, and clustering in general

