Increasing the accuracy of metallicity measurements over the past 12 Gyr of cosmic history (z=0-4)

Ryan Sanders, UCLA

Baryons follow a complex cycle into and out of galaxies



Image credit: NASA, ESA, and The Hubble Heritage Team (STScI/AURA)

Baryons follow a complex cycle into and out of galaxies ...and gas-phase metallicity is sensitive to this cycle

owerolt

higherolt

loweron

Accretion of gas from the IGM Star formation Outflows



Image credit: NASA, ESA, and The Hubble Heritage Team (STScI/AURA)

towerolH

Galaxy metallicity scaling relations are determined by the interplay of inflows, outflows, and star formation.

Andrews & Martini 2013

Tremonti+2004

- Shape and normalization of the mass-metallicity relation (MZR) encodes the stellar mass dependence of the efficiency of galactic outflows
- Redshift evolution probes galaxy growth at multiple epochs in cosmic history





mufasa: Davé+2017

Galaxy metallicity scaling relations are determined by the interplay of inflows, outflows, and star formation.

- Shape and normalization of the mass-metallicity relation (MZR) encodes the stellar mass dependence of the efficiency of galactic outflows
- Redshift evolution probes galaxy growth at multiple epochs in cosmic history





mufasa: Davé+2017

Troncoso+2014

Galaxy metallicity scaling relations are determined by the interplay of inflows, outflows, and star formation.

- Higher order effects:
 - SFR dependence of the MZR ("Fundamental metallicity relation"; FMR)
 - Neutral and molecular gas (HI: Lara-López+2013, Bothwell+2013)







The <u>MOS</u>FIRE <u>Deep</u> Evolution <u>Field</u> survey

- Keck/MOSFIRE: high sensitivity, multiplexing, restframe optical spectra
- Rest-frame optical selected sample (observed H band/F160W)
- CANDELS fields with ancillary multi-wavelength data
- Large, representative sample of galaxies spanning a large dynamic range in stellar mass, SFR, and SED shape
- Multiple redshift ranges in order to study evolution

Observations completed in 2016: 1500 galaxies targeted, 1300 redshifts



Excitation at fixed stellar mass for z~2-4 star-forming galaxies with MOSDEF



Excitation at fixed stellar mass for z^2-4 star-forming galaxies with MOSDEF

-Significant excitation increase at fixed M_{*} from z⁰ to z²-4 (e.g., Steidel+2014, Holden+2016, Onodera+2016) -Slight increase in excitation at fixed M_{*} from z².3 to z³.3

[OIII]/Hβ:



An unprecedented view of the evolution of the MZR at z~2-4 with MOSDEF



- z = 2 2.6 sample:
- 270 individual detections
- 300 stacked in stellar mass bins

z = 3 – 3.8 sample:

- 134 individual detections
- 160 stacked in stellar mass bins

An unprecedented view of the evolution of the MZR at z~2-4 with MOSDEF



Sanders+, in prep.

Searching for the FMR at high redshift: correlated scatter around mean scaling relations



SFR dependence of the MZR: a clear detection of an FMR at z~2.3



 $O3N2 = ([OIII]/H\beta)/([NII]/H\alpha)$ N2O2 = [NII]/[OII] $N2 = [NII]/H\alpha$ $O3 = [OIII]/H\beta$



SFR dependence of the MZR: a clear detection of an FMR at z~2.3



predicted slope = -0.16

The FMR exists at $z^2.3$, but is it the same as at $z^0?$

-z~2.3 galaxies have ~0.1 dex lower metallicities at fixed M_* and SFR compared to z=0 -this offset is observed in both N/O and ionization parameter sensitive line ratios



Are local metallicity calibrations reliable at high redshifts? Evolution in the physical conditions of ionized gas



Shapley et al. 2015

Kewley et al. 2013

A path forward for high-redshift metallicities: auroral-line measurements

- $[OIII]\lambda 4363/[OIII]\lambda 5007 + [OIII]\lambda 5007/H\beta$ \rightarrow electron temperature and oxygen abundance
- Only depends on atomic physics, no dependence on strong-line calibrations
- Can be used to test and re-calibrate strong-line relations
- But...100× weaker than $[OIII]\lambda 5007$...can it be done at high-z?

A path forward: an auroral-line metallicity measurement at z=3.1



Sanders+2016b

A path forward for high-redshift metallicities: auroral-line measurements



Sanders+2016b

What is in the emission-line spectrum of a star-forming galaxy?







More than HII regions: Diffuse Ionized Gas (DIG) contamination

Narrowband $H\alpha$ with HII regions removed:



Roughly half of all Hα emission in local star-forming spiral galaxies originates from diffuse ionized gas (DIG) (Zurita+00, Oey+07)

DIG follows different emission-line ratio sequences than HII regions (Zhang+17, Flores-Fajardo+09)

Zurita+2000

More than HII regions: Diffuse Ionized Gas (DIG) contamination



Modeling galaxies as ensembles of line-emitting regions

Treat galaxies as collections of HII and DIG regions spanning a range of metallicities

Input HII region and DIG line ratios are based upon empirical datasets

1) Apply dust-reddening to each individual region

- 2) Combine light on a line-by-line basis
- 3) De-redden the global galaxy spectrum

4) Measure global galaxy properties (line ratios, electron temperature, metallicity)

Track strong and auroral emission lines

Compare properties derived from global galaxy spectrum to typical properties of the input HII region distribution

Modeling galaxies as ensembles of line-emitting regions

Treat galaxies as collections of HII and DIG regions spanning a range of metallicities

Input HII region and DIG line ratios are based upon empirical datasets

1) Apply dust-reddening to each individual region

- 2) Combine light on a line-by-line basis
- 3) De-redden the global galaxy spectrum

4) Measure global galaxy properties (line ratios, electron temperature, metallicity)

Track strong and auroral emission lines

Compare properties derived from global galaxy spectrum to typical properties of the input HII region distribution



Modeling galaxies as ensembles of line-emitting regions

Treat galaxies as collections of HII and DIG regions spanning a range of metallicities

Input HII region and DIG line ratios are based upon empirical datasets

1) Apply dust-reddening to each individual region

- 2) Combine light on a line-by-line basis
- 3) De-redden the global galaxy spectrum

4) Measure global galaxy properties (line ratios, electron temperature, metallicity)

Track strong and auroral emission lines

Compare properties derived from global galaxy spectrum to typical properties of the input HII region distribution



Modeling galaxies as ensembles of line emitting regions

Treat galaxies as collections of HII and DIG regions spanning a range of metallicities

Input HII region and DIG line ratios are based upon empirical datasets

1) Apply dust-reddening to each individual region

- 2) Combine light on a line-by-line basis
- 3) De-redden the global galaxy spectrum

4) Measure global galaxy properties (line ratios, electron temperature, metallicity)

Track strong and auroral emission lines

Compare properties derived from global galaxy spectrum to typical properties of the input HII region distribution



Modeling galaxies as ensembles of line emitting regions

Treat galaxies as collections of HII and DIG regions spanning a range of metallicities

Input HII region and DIG line ratios are based upon empirical datasets

1) Apply dust-reddening to each individual region

- 2) Combine light on a line-by-line basis
- 3) De-redden the global galaxy spectrum

4) Measure global galaxy properties (line ratios, electron temperature, metallicity)

Track strong and auroral emission lines

Compare properties derived from global galaxy spectrum to typical properties of the input HII region distribution



Putting the models to the test: do they reproduce SDSS z=0 galaxies?



Biases in global galaxy properties from DIG contamination and flux-weighting effects: strong-line ratios



 $O3N2 = [OIII]/H\beta)/([NII]/H\alpha)$

Biases in global galaxy properties from DIG contamination and flux-weighting effects: strong-line ratios



Biases in global galaxy properties from DIG contamination and flux-weighting effects: strong-line ratios



So you want to measure z~0 galaxy metallicities?

Plug your observed global galaxy line ratios into the Curti+17 calibrations! **BUT...**

Make sure to correct the derived metallicity if you are interested in a measurement representative of the HII regions (e.g., for MZR, FMR studies, comparison to simulations)

Correcting the z=0 auroral-line mass-metallicity relation



Correcting the z=0 strong-line mass-metallicity relation



Summary and Conclusions

- Galaxy metallicity scaling relations, such as the mass-metallicity relation, provide valuable insight into the key processes governing galaxy growth
- Significant decrease in metallicity at fixed stellar mass from z~0 to z~3, but little evolution from z~2.3 to z~3.3
- An FMR clearly exists among SFR, M*, and metallicity for z~2.3 star-forming galaxies, but FMR may not be redshift invariant: high-redshift galaxies fall at lower metallicities than z=0 at fixed M_{*} and SFR
- Measurements of temperature-sensitive auroral lines at z>1 will pave the way for robust metallicity estimates at high redshifts; a significant observational investment in such a sample is required
 - JWST will make statistical samples of high-z galaxies with auroral line measurements a reality
- Robust metallicity estimates require a full understanding of the content of galaxy spectra
 - Diffuse ionized gas contamination is a significant issue at z=0
- Modeling galaxies as ensembles of HII and DIG regions successfully reproduces z=0 galaxy line-ratio properties and allows us to characterize and correct for biases in global galaxy metallicities