INSIGHTS FOR THE EPOCH OF REIONISATION FROM LOW-REDSHIFT EXTREME EMISSION LINE SOURCES



I COULDN'T FIND A NICE RELEVANT IMAGE SO HERE IS ONE OF SUSSEX.

INSIGHTS OR THE EPOCH OF REIONISATIC ROM LOW-REDSHIFT EY MEEMISSION LINE SOUTCES



I COULDN'T FIND A NICE RELEVANT IMAGE SO HERE IS ONE OF SUSSEX. EMISSION LINE PREDICTIONS FOR GALAXIES IN EPOCH OF REIONISATION FROM THE BLUETIDES SIMULATION (MORE [CIII], CIII])



I COULDN'T FIND A NICE RELEVANT IMAGE SO HERE IS ONE OF SUSSEX.

THIS TALK

• INTRODUCTION TO BLUETIDES

- PREDICTIONS:
 - DUST-OBSCURED STAR FORMATION.
 - NEBULAR [CIII], CIII] EMISSION
- WHAT CAN WE LEARN FROM THE REST-FRAME UV?

BLUETIDES: THE SIMULATION



- IT SIMULATES DOWN TO Z=8 (PHASE I, COMPLETED) AND Z=6 (PHASE II, ONGOING)
- SIMULATES A VOLUME
 ~ 577³ MPC³
- 2 × 7040³ ~ 0.7 TRILLION
 PARTICLES
- MASS/SPATIAL RESOLUTION
 IS SLIGHTLY WORSE THAN
 EAGLE AND ILLUSTRIS

Feng et al. 2015, 2016; Wilkins et al. 2016bc, 2017ab; Waters et al. 2016ab; di Matteo et al. 2017

BLUETIDES: MASS-METALLICITY RELATION

THERE IS A STRONG MASS-METALLICITY RELATION IN PLACE.

APPROACHING ~50% SOLAR AT 10^{10} M $_{\odot}$. OVERLAPS OBSERVATIONAL CONSTRAINTS AT Z~3.5.



BLUETIDES: DUST MODEL

TO MODEL THE DUST ATTENUATION WE CALCULATE THE LINE OF SIGHT SURFACE DENSITY OF METALS TO EACH STAR PARTICLE AND ASSUME THAT IS PROPORTIONAL TO THE DUST OPTICAL DEPTH.

WE THEN **TUNE** THE PROPORTIONALITY CONSTANT TO RECOVER THE OBSERVED UV LUMINOSITY FUNCTION.



WILKINS ET AL., 2017A

THIS IS SIMILAR TO ROMEEL DAVE'S CLOSER CODE.

BLUETIDES: DUST ATTENUATION ESCAPE FRACTION OF FAR-UV PHOTONS (NOT LYC)

THERE IS A STRONG CORRELATION BETWEEN STELLAR-MASS (M*>10⁸ M☉) AND DUST ATTENUATION ATTENUATION.

GALAXIES AT $M^* \sim 10^{10} M_{\odot}$ ARE PREDICTED TO HAVE UV ATTENUATION OF A~2.5.



BLUETIDES: DUST ATTENUATION ESCAPE FRACTION OF FAR-UV PHOTONS (NOT LYC)

THERE APPEARS TO BE SOME TENSION HERE. THIS COULD BE:

- THE SIMULATION IS WRONG.
- OBSERVATIONAL ESTIMATES ARE BASED ON INCORRECT ASSUMPTIONS.



BLUETIDES: EMISSION LINE PREDICTIONS

WE CAN MODEL THE NEBULAR EMISSION FROM EACH GALAXY IN THE SIMULATION BY ASSIGNING AN INTRINSIC STELLAR SED TO EACH STAR PARTICLE (BASED ON ITS AGE AND METALLICITY) AND PASSING THAT SED THROUGH A PHOTOIONISATION CODE.

BLUETIDES: EMISSION LINE PREDICTIONS

WE CAN MODEL THE NEBULAR EMISSION FROM EACH GALAXY IN THE SIMULATION BY ASSIGNING AN INTRINSIC STELLAR SED TO EACH STAR PARTICLE (BASED ON ITS AGE AND METALLICITY) AND PASSING THAT SED THROUGH A PHOTOIONISATION CODE.

I'LL CONCENTRATE ON THE [CIII], CIII] LINE AT 1908.

- TYPICALLY SECOND BRIGHTEST LINE IN THE UV .
- CURRENTLY OBSERVABLE (AND OBSERVED, E.G. STARK ET AL. 2015,2017) AT HIGH-REDSHIFT (Z~6) AND ACCESSIBLE TO VERY-HIGH (Z>10) REDSHIFT WITH JWST.
- SENSITIVE TO THE METALLICITY.

UV DIAGNOSTICS: [CIII], CIII] EQUIVALENT WIDTH DEPENDENCE ON METALLICITY



BPASSv2.1 Modified Salpeter IMF ($m_{\star} = 0.1 - 300 M_{\odot}$) Cloudy (C17.00)

BASED ON BPASSV2.1 THE [CIII],CIII] EQUIVALENT WIDTH DECLINES AT > ~1/5 Z_{SOL} AND PLATEAUS BELOW.

UV DIAGNOSTICS: [CIII],CIII] EQUIVALENT WIDTH DEPENDENCE ON SFH

DURATION OF CONSTANT STAR FORMATION



SENSITIVE TO THE THE STAR FORMATION HISTORY.

UV DIAGNOSTICS: [CIII], CIII] EQUIVALENT WIDTH

DEPENDENCE ON DUST

DUST AFFECTS ALL EMISSION UNIFORMLY

IF DUST AFFECTS NEBULAR EMISSION MORE STRONGLY THAN CONTINUUM EMISSION THE EQUIVALENT WIDTH WILL ALSO BE SENSITIVE TO THE AMOUNT OF ATTENUATION.

HERE WE ARE SHOWING PREDICTIONS USING THE CHARLOT & FALL (2000) MODEL FOR VARIOUS VALUES OF MU.

FROM YOUNG STARS



A₁₅₀₀

UV DIAGNOSTICS: [CIII], CIII] EQUIVALENT WIDTH DEPENDENCE ON OTHER PHOTOIONISATION PARAMETERS

THE [CIII],CIII] LUMINOSITY IS ALSO SENSITIVE TO TO RANGE OF OTHER PARAMETERS WHICH ARE NOT PREDICTED BY THE SIMULATION.

0.01

-4.0

DUST DEPLETION

LYC ESCAPE FRACTION





-2.5

 $\log_{10}(Z)$

-2.0

-1.5

-3.0

-3.5

UV DIAGNOSTICS: [CIII], CIII] EQUIVALENT WIDTH DEPENDENCE ON IONISING SOURCE (AND/OR SPS MODEL)

SENSITIVE TO THE CHOICE OF SPS MODEL AND IMF.



 $default: \ f_{esc} = 0.0 \ log_{10}(t_{SF}/yr) = 8 \ log_{10}(n/cm^{-3}) = 2 \ log_{10}(U_S) = -2 \ log_{10}[(C/O)/(C/O)_{\odot}] = 0.0 \ \xi_d = 0.3$

BLUETIDES: [CIII], CIII] EW DISTRIBUTION

BPASSv2.1 Modified Salpeter IMF $(0.1 - 300 M_{\odot})$

z = 81.4 1.2 log₁₀[EW([C_{III}], C_{III}])/Å] 1.0 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2 intrinsic 0.0 dust attenuated ($\tau^{\star} = 0.44 \times \tau^{\text{neb}}$) 1.4 dust attenuated (default model) intrinsic 1.2 log₁₀[EW([C_{III}], C_{III}])/Å] 1.0 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2 0.0 9.50 8.50 8.75 9.00 9.25 9.75 10.00 10.25 10.50 $log_{10}(M^{\star}/M_{\odot})$

PREDICTED INTRINSIC AND OBSERVED [CIII],CIII] EW DISTRIBUTION AS A FUNCTION OF STELLAR MASS.

BLUETIDES: [CIII], CIII] EW DISTRIBUTION

IF INSTEAD WE COMPARE THE EW AGAINST OBSERVED UV LUMINOSITY WE CAN MAKE A DIRECT COMPARISON WITH OBSERVATIONS AT Z>6.

WHILE TWO OBJECTS ARE CONSISTENT WITH THE BLUETIDES PREDICTIONS, THE FINAL ONE LIES FAR OUTSIDE THE PREDICTED DISTRIBUTION.

BLUETIDES: [CIII], CIII] EW DISTRIBUTION

THERE ARE SEVERAL SOLUTIONS:

- THE SIMULATION IS WRONG.
- THE DUST MODEL IS WRONG.
- THE ASSUMED PPOTOINIONSATION PARAMETERS ARE WRONG.
- SPS MODELS ARE INCOMPLETE.
- THE WRONG IMF IS ASSUMED.
- IT'S AN AGN.

BLUETIDES: [CIII], CIII] LUMINOSITY FUNCTION

INTRINSIC AND OBSERVED [CIII], CIII] LUMINOSITY FUNCTIONS

THE [CIII],CIII] LUMINOSITY FUNCTION EVOLVES STRONGLY WITH REDSHIFT AND THE BRIGHT-END IS STRONGLY IS VERY SENSITIVE TO DUST.

BLUETIDES: [CIII], CIII] LUMINOSITY FUNCTION

BLUETIDES: [CIII], CIII] LUMINOSITY FUNCTION

[CIII], CIII] LUMINOSITY FUNCTIONS FOR DIFFERENT SPS/IMF ASSUMPTIONS

BRIEF ASIDE: WHAT CAN WE ACTUALLY LEARN FROM REST-FRAME UV OBSERVATIONS?

WHAT CAN WE LEARN FROM THE UV

USING THE INTERROGATOR SED FITTING CODE WE HAVE INVESTIGATED HOW WELL VARIOUS PARAMETERS CAN BE CONSTRAINED FROM REST-FRAME UV OBSERVATIONS.

FAIRHURST ET AL. IN PREP

INTERROGATOR COMBINES AN SED MODEL (INCLUDING STARS, NEBULAR EMISSION, DUST, AND AGN) WITH AN MCMC INFERENCE ENGINE TO DETERMINE THE POSTERIOR ON EACH OF THE MODEL PARAMETERS.

INTERROGATOR IS VERY SIMILAR TO BEAGLE AND PROSPECTOR THOUGH HAS DIFFERENT OPTIONS FOR THE ASSUMED DUST/GAS REPROCESSING MODEL AND SPS MODEL.

WHAT CAN WE LEARN FROM THE UV

TYPICAL CONSTRAINTS FROM THE ENTIRE REST-FRAME UV SED.

ASSUMES WE KNOW THE SLOPE OF THE DUST CURVE, LEAVING THIS AS A FREE PARAMETER, EVEN WITH A RELATIVELY CONSERVATIVE PRIOR SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCES THE GOODNESS OF THE FITS.

ALSO ASSUMES NO AGN CONTRIBUTION.

$\mathsf{CONCLUSIONS}$

- THE VERY LARGE BLUETIDES SIMULATION REPRODUCES THE OBSERVED UV LUMINOSITY FUNCTION THOUGH PREDICTS THAT MASSIVE GALAXIES ARE HEAVILY OBSCURED.
- PREDICTED [CIII],CIII] EQUIVALENT WIDTHS LARGELY IN AGREEMENT WITH OBSERVATIONS EXCEPT ONE OBJECT.
- INFERRING PARAMETERS FROM THE UV ALONE IS DIFFICULT.

PACMAN MODEL

BLUETIDES: DUST ATTENUATION

SUB-MM PREDICTIONS

BELOW ARE SUB-MM NUMBER COUNT PREDICTIONS FOR GALAXIES AT Z>8 IN VARIOUS BANDS SHOWING REGIONS PROBED BY CURRENT SURVEYS.

- PREDICTIONS ARE VERY SENSITIVE TO THE ASSUMED INFRARED TEMPLATE.
- NO SURVEY SHOULD HAVE SEEN Z>8 GALAXIES BUT ALMA COULD IN THE NOT TOO DISTANT FUTURE.

OTHER THINGS I'M WORKING ON

- JWST PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT (WHY I'M HERE TODAY)
- EVERY OTHER ASPECT OF THE SIMULATION
- (FLEXIBLE) SED FITTING.

UV DIAGNOSTICS: UV EMISSION LINES

INTRINSIC LINE LUMINOSITY (RELATIVE TO H-BETA) PREDICTIONS FROM BPASS/CLOUDY

 $BPASSv2.1 \quad Modified Salpeter (m = 0.1 - 300 \, M_{\odot}) \quad Cloudy \, Version = C17.00 \quad Z = 0.004 \quad \log_{10}(n/cm^{-3}) = 2.0 \quad \log_{10}(U_S) = -2.5 \quad \log_{10}[(C/O)/(C/O)_{\odot}] = 0.0 \quad \xi_d = 0.3 \quad M_{\odot} = 0.0 \quad M_{\odot}$

UV DIAGNOSTICS: UV EMISSION LINES

INTRINSIC LINE LUMINOSITY (RELATIVE TO H-BETA) PREDICTIONS FROM BPASS/CLOUDY

UV DIAGNOSTICS: [CIII], CIII]

- COMBINATION OF TWO LINES ([CIII]@~1907 AND CIII]@~1909).
- OFTEN THE SECOND BRIGHTEST UV LINE (AFTER LYA).
- VERY SENSITIVE TO METALLICITY (AT LEAST ABOVE Z=0.004).
- BUT... SENSITIVE TO OTHER PROPERTIES OF THE IONISING SOURCE / ISM AS WELL.
- ALREADY OBSERVED AT HIGH-REDSHIFT (E.G. STARK ET AL. 2015,2017)

OBSERVATIONS OF [CIII],CIII] DOUBLET IN A **Z~7.7** LYMAN BREAK GALAXY (STARK ET AL. 2017).

